A REMARK ON THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR HILBERTIAN BASIS

J. Bourgain (*)

Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques 35, route de Chartres 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette (France)

Novembre 1986

IHES/M/86/58

(*) IHES University of Illinois

1. INTRODUCTION.

We consider the one-dimensional case $L^2(\mathbb{R},dx)$. Using the notations of $[M_1]$, let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $||\psi||_2 = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} = 1$. The Fourier transform is defined by $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} \psi(x) dx$. Assume moreover $\int x^2 |\psi(x)|^2 dx$ and $\int \xi^2 |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$ converging. Define then the mean values

$$\overline{x} = \int x |\psi(x)|^2 dx$$
 $\overline{\xi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \xi |\psi(\xi)|^2 d\xi$

and the quadratic) deviations

$$\left(\Delta \mathbf{x} \right)_{\psi} = \left(\int \left| \mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \right|^2 - \left| \psi \left(\mathbf{x} \right) \right|^2 \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \right)^{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \left(\Delta \xi \right)_{\psi} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left| \xi - \overline{\xi} \right|^2 - \left| \psi \left(\xi \right) \right|^2 \mathrm{d} \xi \right)^{1/2} \; .$$

The uncertainty principle then tells us that

$$I = (\Delta x)_{\psi} (\Delta \xi)_{\psi} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
.

Note that the latter expression is invariant under rescaling of ψ . The minimum $\frac{1}{2}$ of I is obtained for the Gaussian (coherent states)

$$\psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/4} (\Delta x)^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \frac{x^2}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

The notion of basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has different meanings in litterature. Here it will mean a total orthonormal system. In [B], Balian studies basis obtained by translation of a fixed wave ψ over a lattice in phase space,i.e.

$$\psi_{\overline{x},\overline{E}} = e^{ix\overline{\xi}} \psi(x-\overline{x})$$

where $\overline{x} = ma$, $\overline{\xi} = nb(m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ and a,b fixed positive numbers satisfying $ab = 2\pi$. It is proved in [B] that the constraints of completeness + orthogonality

forces the so-called strong uncertainty principle, i.e.

$$(\Delta x)_{\psi} (\Delta \xi)_{\psi} = \infty$$

In the same paper, Balian considers the more general problem for a non-periodic basis, i.e. the existence of a basis $\{\psi_{\underline{i}}\}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for which both quantities

$$\sup_{i} (\Delta x)_{\psi_{i}} : \sup_{i} (\Delta \xi)_{\psi_{i}}$$

are finite. It is our purpose to construct such a system.

In [M,1], Meyer constructs a basis $\{\psi_{\bf i}\}$ obtained by rescaling a same wave ψ , $\psi_{\bf i}({\bf x})={\bf t_i}\psi({\bf t_i}({\bf x}-{\bf a_i}))$, where ψ satisfies $(\Delta {\bf x})_{\psi}(\Delta \xi)_{\psi}<\infty$. This basis and its variants turned out to be of considerable interest to various problems in analysis.

It has been shown by T. Steger that $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ does not admit a basis of the form $f_j(x) = e^{ib_j x} g_j(x-a_j)$ where g_j satisfies $\sup_j g_j |A_{\epsilon}| < \infty$, defining

$$\|g\|_{A_{\epsilon}}^{2} = \int (1+x^{2})^{1+\epsilon} |g(x)|^{2} dx + \int (1+\xi^{2})^{1+\epsilon} |\hat{g}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi .$$

Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is any strictly positive number. His argument is based on the fact that the operations x (x-multiplication) and $\frac{d}{dx}$ in the latter basis would become "almost" diagonal operators, violating the non-commutation property $\left[\frac{d}{dx}, x\right] = I$. He also makes use of a density computation due to Y. Meyer [M,2] of the set Λ of pairs (a_j,b_j) in phase space. The condition $\varepsilon > 0$ is important, in Steger's argument as well as for Meyer's distribution result to be valid.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

THEOREM : Given $\rho > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, there is a basis $\{\psi_i\}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$(\Delta x)_{\psi_{i}} < \rho$$
 (1.1) and $(\Delta \xi)_{\psi_{i}} < \rho$ (1.2)

for each i .

Thus Balian's strong uncertainty principle does not hold for a nonperiodic basis. The proof of the theorem is rather simple. It is obtained by
a quantitative analysis of an abstract basis construction used previously in
order to generate a uniformly bounded basis in various function spaces
(for instance H² on the complex ball, see [R]). In fact, the basis elements
turn out to be small perturbations of the coherent states.

I am grateful to Y. Meyer for some discussions on the subject.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF FINITE ORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS, WELL-LOCALIZED IN PHASE SPACE.

In the construction of the basis, we make use of some orthogonal systems of functions which we generate in this section. The letter C will denote various absolute constants. In order to simplify computations, approximate the gaussian $\psi(x) = \pi^{-1/4} \ e^{-x^2/2}$ by a compactly supported C function ϕ . Thus let $\epsilon > 0$ and assume ϕ to be supported by $\left[-\frac{K}{2}, \frac{K}{2} \right]$, $K = K(\epsilon)$ satisfying

$$\int \varphi(x)^2 dx = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$||\phi' - \psi'||_2 < \varepsilon \Rightarrow (\Delta \xi)_{\phi} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \varepsilon$$
 (2.2)

$$\|(\Phi)^{*} - (\widehat{\psi})^{*}\|_{2} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow (\Delta \mathbf{x})_{\Phi} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \varepsilon$$
 (2.3)

Consider the Fourier transform

$$\lambda(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} \varphi(x)^2 dx$$

that we may assume to satisfy

$$|\lambda(\xi)| < \frac{1}{1+C\xi^3} \tag{2.4}$$

Fix a large integer T and consider the lattice ((m+T)K,nK) $(o \le m,n < T)$ as well as the functions

$$\phi_{m,n}(x) = e^{inKx} \quad \phi(x - mK - TK)$$
 (2.5)

Thus, by construction, $\phi_{m,n}$ and $\phi_{m',n'}$ are disjointly supported for $m \neq m'$.

Also

$$\|\Sigma a_{m,n} \phi_{m,n}\|_{2} \sim \Sigma |a_{m,n}|^{2}$$
 (2.6)

Denoting ϕ the set of the $\phi_{m,n}$ ($0 \le m,n < T$) and $[\phi]$ its linear span, the following inequalities are satisfied for $f \in [\phi]$

$$\left(\int x^{2} |f(x)|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2} \le 2 TK ||f||_{2}$$
 (2.7)

$$\left(\int \xi^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi\right)^{1/2} \sim \|f\|_{2} \leq CTK \|f\|_{2}$$
 (2.8)

(2.7) is obvious from the localization of f and the second inequality in (2.8) is easily deduced from (2.6).

Our purpose is to replace $\,^\varphi$ by an orthogonal system using Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization on the $\,^\varphi$. Thus we orthogonatize the $\,^\varphi$ to a system $\,^\tau$ and define then

$$\tau_{m,n}(x) = \tau_n(x - mK - TK) \qquad (2.9)$$

Put $\tau = \varphi$ = φ . Write then for a fixed n

$$\tau_n = \varphi_{o,n} - \sum_{o < j < n} a_j \varphi_{o,j}$$

and the orthogonality conditions $\tau_n \perp \tau_k$ (o $\leq k < n$)

$$\lambda(K(n-k)) = \sum_{0 \le j \le n} a_j \lambda(K(j-k))$$
 (2.10)

Putting $\lambda(K(j-k)) = \delta_{jk} + H_{jk}$, the matrix H will be satisfied by (2.4)

$$H_{jj} = 0 \text{ and } H_{jk} < \frac{C}{K^3(j-k)^3}$$
 (2.11)

Writing the inverse $S = (I+H)^{-1}$ as a Neumann series

$$S = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} H^{t}$$

it is easily checked that S still fulfils

$$s_{jk} < \frac{c}{1+K^3(j-k)^3}$$
 (2.12)

Since the solution (a_j) of (2.10) is given by 0 < j < n

$$a_j = \sum_{0 \le k \le n} S_{jk} H_{n,k}$$

(2.11) and (2.12) imply

$$|a_j| < \frac{c}{1+K^3(n-j)^3}$$
 (2.13)

Thus, by (2.13) and (2.6),

$$\|\tau_n - \varphi_{0,n}\|_2 < c(\Sigma a_j^2)^{1/2} < c\kappa^{-3}$$
 (2.14)

and

$$\|(e^{-inKx}\tau_n)' - \phi'\|_2 \le \Sigma \|a_j\| \|(e^{-inKx}\phi_{0,j})\|_2 \le C \sum_{0 \le j \le n} \frac{(n-j)K}{1+K^3(n-j)^3} < CK^{-2}$$
 (2.15)

By (2.3) and (2.14)

$$(\Delta x)_{\tau_{m,n}} = (\Delta x)_{\tau_n} \le (\Delta x)_{\phi} + CK^{-3} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\varepsilon$$
 (2.16)

and by (2.1) and (2.15)

$$(\Delta \xi)_{\tau_{m,n}} = (\Delta \xi)_{\tau_{n}} \le (\Delta \xi)_{\phi} + CK^{-2} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\varepsilon$$
 (2.17)

(for K large enough)

Denote S the system of L^2-normalized fonctions $\tau_{m,n}$. If s \in S , then

$$|(\overline{x})_{g}| < 3TK ; |(\overline{\xi})_{g}| < 3TK$$
 (2.18)

$$(\Delta x)_s < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 3\varepsilon$$
; $(\Delta \xi)_s < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 3\varepsilon$ (2.19)

s vanishes on the interval
$$\left[-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}\right]$$
 (2.20)

Note that (2.7) and (2.8) are valid for $f \in [S]$, since $[S] = [\Phi]$.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE BASIS.

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a dense sequence in the unit sphere of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of compactly supported smooth functions. Fix $\epsilon>0$. The basis β will be of the form

$$\beta = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k$$

where the β_k are finite families of orthogonal compactly supported smooth functions satisfying

$$(\Delta x)_b < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \varepsilon \quad (\Delta \xi)_b < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \varepsilon$$
 (3.1)

$$\beta_k \perp \beta_k$$
, for $k \neq k$ (3.2)

$$\|P_{[\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_k]} f_k\|_2 \ge v(\varepsilon)$$
(3.3)

where $v(\epsilon) > 0$ and $P[\]$ stands for the orthogonal projection. Condition (3.3) implies that β is total.

The construction of the β_k is done by induction on k , using the orthogonal families obtained in the previous section.

Assume that $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}$ is obtained and let

$$f = f_k - P[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}] f_k$$

Choose T large enough such that in particular the members of β_1 U...U β_{k-1} and f_k are supported by $\left[-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}\right]$. We also let

$$\left(\int \xi^{2} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi\right)^{1/2} < T$$
 (3.4)

Enumerate s_1, \ldots, s_T^2 the orthogonal system S built in Section 2 . β_k will be contained in [f,s] and hence orthogonal on $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}$. Fix a constant θ > 0 (to be specified later) and define the elements of β_k as follows

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = \frac{\theta}{T} f + \gamma_1 s_1 \\ b_2 = \frac{\theta}{T} f + \sigma_1 s_1 + \gamma_2 s_2 \\ b_3 = \frac{\theta}{T} f + \sigma_1 s_1 + \sigma_2 s_2 + \gamma_3 s_3 \\ \vdots \\ b_{T^2} = \frac{\theta}{T} f + \sigma_1 s_1 + \dots + \sigma_{T^2 - 1} s_{T^2 - 1} + \gamma_{T^2 - T^2} \end{cases}$$

The o's are chosen to make the b's orthogonal, i.e.

(3.6)
$$\frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2} \|\mathbf{f}\|_2^2 + \sigma_1^2 + \ldots + \sigma_{\ell-1}^2 + \gamma_{\ell} \sigma_{\ell} = 0 \quad (1 \le \ell < \mathbf{T}^2)$$

and the y's are normalization factors

(3.7)
$$\gamma_{\ell}^2 = 1 - \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2} \|\mathbf{f}\|_2^2 - \sigma_1^2 - \dots - \sigma_{\ell-1}^2 \quad (1 \le \ell \le \mathbf{T}^2)$$

for $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$, (3.6) and (3.7) clearly imply

$$\sigma_{\ell} \leq \frac{\theta}{T^2} \quad ; \quad 1 - \gamma_{\ell} < 2 \frac{\theta}{T} \tag{3.8}$$

hence

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell} - \mathbf{s}_{\ell}\|_{2} \le 3 \frac{\theta}{T}$$
 for each ℓ (3.9)

We verify the condition (3.1). Fix $\ell = 1,...,T^2$ and denote

$$\overline{x} = (\overline{x})_{3_{\underline{\xi}}} ; \overline{\xi} = (\overline{\xi})_{3_{\underline{\xi}}}$$

It follows from (2.18) and (3.9) that

$$\left(\int |x-x|^2 |b_{\ell}(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int |x-x|^2 |s_{\ell}(x)|^2\right)^{1/2} + CKT \|b_{\ell} - s_{\ell}\|_2^2 \leq (\Delta x)_{s_{\ell}} + CK\theta + CK\theta$$

Hence, by (2.19) and for an appropriate choice of $\theta=\theta(\epsilon)$, $(\Delta x)_{S_{\chi}}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+4\epsilon$. Similarly

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int |\xi-\overline{\xi}|^2 |\hat{b}_{\underline{\xi}}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} & \leq \left(\Delta \xi\right)_{S_{\underline{\xi}}} + \frac{\theta}{T} \left(\int |\xi-\overline{\xi}|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & + \left(\int |\xi-\overline{\xi}|^2 |\hat{b}_{\underline{\xi}}(\xi) - \hat{s}_{\underline{\xi}}(\xi) - \frac{\theta}{T} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{split}$$

By (3.4) and (2.18), the second term is bounded by θ + 3KT $\frac{\theta}{T} ||f||_2 < 4K\theta$.

Using (2.8) and (3.9), the last term is bounded by

$$3 \text{KTII } \mathbf{b}_{\underline{\ell}} - \mathbf{s}_{\underline{\ell}} - \frac{\theta}{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{II}_{\underline{2}} + \mathbf{C} \mathbf{KTII } \mathbf{b}_{\underline{\ell}} - \mathbf{s}_{\underline{\ell}} - \frac{\theta}{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{II}_{\underline{2}} < \mathbf{C} \mathbf{K} \theta$$

and an appropriate choice of θ yields $(\Delta \xi)_{b_{\chi}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 4\varepsilon$. It remains to check (3.3). Since $\|P_{[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k]}f_k\|_2^2 = \|P_{[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}]}f_k\|_2^2 + \|P_{[\beta_k]}f\|_2^2$

and by construction

$$\|P_{\beta_{k}}[f]\|_{2} \ge |\langle f, \frac{1}{T}\Sigma b_{\ell} \rangle| = \theta \|f\|_{2}^{2}$$

we get

$$v(\varepsilon) = \min_{0 \le a \le 1} \left[a + \theta^2 (1-a)^2 \right]^{1/2} > \frac{\theta}{2}$$

This ends the proof.

4. REMARKS.

1. The previous construction yields a basis (ψ_i) of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (\Delta x)_{\psi_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} , \lim_{i \to \infty} (\Delta \xi)_{\psi_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

2. The higher dimensional generalization of the construction gives a basis (ψ_i) of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x-\overline{x}|^{2d} |\psi_{\underline{i}}(x)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi-\overline{\xi}|^{2d} |\tilde{\psi}_{\underline{i}}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < c$$

REFERENCES

- [B] R. BALIAN: Un principe d'incertitude fort en théorie du signal ou en mécanique quantique, C.R.A.Sc. Paris, t.292, Ser. II, pp.1357-1362, 1981.
- [M] Y. MEYER: Principe d'incertitude, bases hilbertiennes et algèbres d'opérateurs, Sém. Bourbaki n°662.
- [M2] Y. MEYER : Private communications.
- [R] W. RUDIN: Régional Conf. Ser. 3,1985.
