

ON THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF HARMONIC MEASURE
IN HIGHER DIMENSION

J. BOURGAIN^{*)}

SUMMARY. For a given dimension $d \geq 2$, there exists $\rho < d$ such that if ω is the harmonic measure of a domain in \mathbb{R}^d , then there is a set S satisfying $\omega(S) = 1$ and $h_\rho(S) = 0$. This improves the result of B. Øksendal, according to which ω is always singular with respect to d -dimensional Lebesgue measure (see [0]).

Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques
35, Route de Chartres
91440 Bures-sur-Yvette (France)

March 1986

IHES/M/86/11

^{*)}

IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette.
University of Illinois.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let ω be a compactly supported positive measure. We say that the support $S(\omega)$ of ω has dimension at most α if for every $\beta > \alpha$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find balls D_ν of radii r_ν so that

$$\sum r_\nu^\beta < \varepsilon \text{ and } \omega(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup D_\nu) < \varepsilon.$$

Assume $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$ a domain in \mathbb{R}^d , where E is a compact set. Denote $\omega(\Lambda, A, x)$ the harmonic measure for Λ of A , evaluated at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. According to Oksendal's theorem [O], $\omega_E = \omega(\Lambda, \cdot, x)$ is singular with respect to d -dimensional Lebesgue measure. For $d > 2$ and general domains, this result seemed to be so far the only known localization property. Recently for $d = 2$, it has been shown by P. Jones and T. Wolff [J-W] that $S(\omega_E)$ has dimension at most 2. This result completes previous work due to N.G. Makarov and L. Carleson (see [M] and [C1]). Let g be the Green's function of $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ with pole at some point of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. In both the Carleson and Jones-Wolff arguments, the integral

$$\int_{\text{boundary}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \log \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} ds$$

plays an essential role. The evaluations involved for the latter integral rely on specific 2-dimensional phenomena which do not seem to be conclusive in higher dimension. Our purpose is to prove the following fact :

THEOREM. If $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$ is a domain in \mathbb{R}^d , then $S(\omega_E)$ has dimension at most $d - \tau(d)$, where $\tau(d) > 0$ is some positive number only dependent on d .

There seems to be some evidence that for $d > 2$, one cannot take $\tau(d) = 1$ as in the 2-dimensional case [W]. The proof of the theorem is elementary and

easy to visualize. In particular, the Green's function of the domain will not be used. In the argument, the dimension d plays essentially no role, besides when writing explicitly potentials down. To fix ideas, let $d = 3$, $E \subset [0,1]^3$ and $\omega(\cdot)$ the harmonic measure for Λ evaluated at 0 . Adaptation of the argument given below to other situations is straightforward.

Let us next recall some simple facts which will be exploited in the proof given below.

Let $A \subset S$, $A \subset \tilde{A}$. By the strong Markov property of Brownian motion (cf. [K-W])

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S, A, x) &= \int_{\tilde{A}} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S, A, y) \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (S \cup \tilde{A}), dy, x) \\ &\leq \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (S \cup \tilde{A}), \tilde{A}, x) \sup_{y \in \tilde{A}} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S, A, y) \\ &\leq \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (S \cup \tilde{A}), \tilde{A}, x) \end{aligned}$$

We will make repeated use of this principle.

If I is an interval in \mathbb{R}^3 , denote $|I|$ its Lebesgue measure. Let $h_\rho(A) = \inf_{\alpha} \{ \sum_{\alpha} |I_{\alpha}|^{\rho/3} ; I_{\alpha} \text{ cube}, A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} \}$. We also need the next lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let Q be a cube in \mathbb{R}^3 and Q_* the cube with same center as Q and of $\frac{1}{100}$ size. Then one of the following alternatives holds

- (1) $\omega(Q \setminus E, Q \cap E, a) \geq \delta$ if $a \in Q_*$
- (2) $h_\rho(E \cap Q_*) < C(\rho)\delta$ $h_\rho(Q)$ for $\rho > 1$

PROOF. Fix $\rho > 1$. By the result in Carleson's book [C₂] (p. 7, Th. 1), there is a positive measure μ supported by $E \cap Q_*$ such that

$$\mu(I) \leq h_\rho(I) \quad \text{for any cube } I \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mu(E \cap Q_*) \geq c h_\rho(E \cap Q_*) \quad (4)$$

Define now the harmonic function

$$u(x) = \int |x-y|^{-1} \mu(dy) \quad .$$

By (3), we have

$$u \leq C(\rho-1)^{-1} |Q_*|^{\frac{\rho-1}{3}} \quad (5)$$

$$u(a) \geq |Q_*|^{-1/3} \mu(E \cap Q_*) \quad \text{for } a \in Q_* \quad (6)$$

$$u(a) \leq \frac{1}{100} |Q_*|^{-1/3} \mu(E \cap Q_*) \quad \text{if } a \in \partial Q \quad (7)$$

Define

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\sup u} (u - \sup_{a \in \partial Q} u(a)) \leq 1$$

Since $\bar{u} \leq 0$ on Q and $\bar{u} \leq 1$, it follows from the maximum principle for $x \in Q$

$$\bar{u}(x) \leq \omega(Q \setminus (E \cap Q_*), E \cap Q_*, x) \leq \omega(Q \setminus E, E \cap Q, x)$$

In particular, for $a \in Q_*$, by (5), (6), (7) above and by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (Q \setminus E, Q \cap E, a) &\geq \bar{u}(a) \geq c(\rho-1) |Q_*|^{\frac{1-\rho}{3}} |Q_*|^{-1/3} \mu(E \cap Q_*) \geq \\ &\geq c(\rho-1) |Q_*|^{-\rho/3} h_\rho(E \cap Q_*) \end{aligned}$$

from where the alternative follows.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

Let thus $E \subset [0,1]^3$ be a compact set and $\omega(\cdot)$ the harmonic measure for $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus E$ corresponding to the point 0. Use the letter c for various constants. Let ℓ be a fixed integer to be defined later. Partition $[0,1]^3$ in cubes by successive ℓ -adic refinements. Let E_j be the j^{th} generation of cubes, thus of size ℓ^{-j} . Let $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$.

It will be useful to define the following additional Hausdorff measures

$$h_{\rho}(A, \epsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} |I_{\alpha}|^{\rho/3}, I_{\alpha} \text{ is a cube of size } |I_{\alpha}|^{1/3} < \epsilon \text{ and } A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} \right\}$$

and

$$m_{\rho}(A, \epsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} |I_{\alpha}|^{\rho/3}; I_{\alpha} \text{ is an } E\text{-cube of size } |I_{\alpha}|^{1/3} < \epsilon \text{ and } A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} \right\}.$$

The proof is based on the following

LEMMA 2. There is $\rho < 3$ such that for each $I \in E_j$ one of the following properties hold

$$(D) \quad m_{\rho}(E \cap I, \ell^{-j-1}) \leq |I|^{\rho/3}$$

$$(L) \quad \sum_{J \in E_{j+1}, J \subset I} \omega(J)^{1/2} |J|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{10} \omega(I)^{1/2} |I|^{1/2}$$

(D) = local estimate of the Hausdorff measure of E

(L) = localization of harmonic measure.

PROOF. Let $Q \in E_{j+1}$ be a subcube of I . Denote Q_* the cube with same center as Q and $\frac{1}{100}$ -size. According to lemma 1, we thus have the following alternative

$$(1) \quad \omega(Q \setminus E, E \cap Q, a) \geq \delta \quad \text{if} \quad a \in Q_*$$

$$(2) \quad h_\rho(E \cap Q_*) < \delta' h_\rho(Q) \quad .$$

Actually ρ will be taken < 3 but close to 3, according to certain needs that will appear in what follows

FIRST ALTERNATIVE : There is a subcube $Q \in E_{j+1}$ of I satisfying (2).

Notice that replacing a general cube by a union of E -cubes (bounded in number) and letting $\rho < 3$ be close enough to 3 (depending on ℓ), (2) yields also

$$m_\rho(E \cap Q_*, \ell^{-j-1}) \leq 2\delta' |Q|^{\rho/3} \quad .$$

Hence, for ρ close enough to 3

$$\begin{aligned} m_\rho(E \cap I, \ell^{-j-1}) &\leq m_\rho(I \setminus Q, \ell^{-j-1}) + m_\rho(Q \setminus Q_*, \ell^{-j-1}) + m_\rho(E \cap Q_*, \ell^{-j-1}) \\ &\leq (\ell^3 - 1) \ell^{-(j+1)\rho} + (1-c) \ell^{-(j+1)\rho} + 2\delta' \ell^{-(j+1)\rho} \\ &\leq \ell^{-j\rho} + (\ell^3 - \ell^\rho) \ell^{-j\rho} - c/2 \ell^{-(j+1)\rho} \quad \text{for } \delta' \text{ small enough} \\ &< \ell^{-j\rho} = |I|^{\rho/3} \end{aligned}$$

SECOND ALTERNATIVE. Any $(j+1)$ -cube $Q \subset I$ satisfies (1).

The point is that for ℓ large enough (depending on δ), the Q 's which lie deeper inside I almost don't catch any harmonic measure. This can be formalized by defining suitable stopping times on the Brownian paths penetrating I and using the strong Markov property (see Introduction). Define

$$I_1 = I \setminus \text{outer } Q's \text{ in } I$$

$$I_2 = I_1 \setminus \text{outer } Q's \text{ in } I_1$$

$$I_{\bar{\ell}}$$

for $\bar{\ell} = [10^{-6}\ell]$ say .

Thus

$$\omega(I_{\bar{\ell}}) \leq \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_{\bar{\ell}}), I_{\bar{\ell}}, 0) \leq \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I), I, 0) \cdot \sup_{a \in \partial I} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_{\bar{\ell}}), I_{\bar{\ell}}, a) \quad (3)$$

By (1) and the strong Markov property, clearly

$$\omega(I) \geq c\delta \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I), I, 0)$$

considering the outer $Q's$ in I only.

Estimate second factor in (3), again by the strong Markov property, as

$$\sup_{a \in \partial I} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_1), I_1, a) \sup_{a \in \partial I_1} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_2), I_2, a) \dots \sup_{a \in \partial I_{\bar{\ell}-1}} \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_{\bar{\ell}}), I_{\bar{\ell}}, a) \quad (4)$$

Now $\omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_1), I_1, a) \leq 1 - \omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (E \cup I_1), E, a) \leq 1 - c\delta$ as a consequence of (1)

and the same holds for the next factors in (4). Hence

$$(4) \leq (1 - c\delta)^{\bar{\ell}} = \exp(-c\delta\ell) \quad .$$

If ℓ is sufficiently large, we get

$$\omega(I_{\bar{\ell}}) \leq C' 1/\delta \exp(-c\delta\ell) \omega(I) < 10^{-6} \omega(I) \quad .$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{J \in E_{j+1}} \omega(J)^{1/2} |J|^{1/2} &= \sum_{J \in I_{\bar{\ell}}} + \sum_{J \notin I_{\bar{\ell}}} \\ &\leq \omega(I_{\bar{\ell}})^{1/2} |I_{\bar{\ell}}|^{1/2} + C 10^{-3} |I|^{1/2} \omega(I)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

(L) is obtained. This proves Lemma 2.

Remark that $\delta' \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow \ell \Rightarrow \rho < 3$ in above considerations.

CONSTRUCTION OF TREE.

If $I \in E_j$ is an (L)-cube, we associate to I its ℓ^3 subcubes in E_{j+1} .

If I is a (D)-cube, we associate a family $\{I_\alpha\} \subset E$ of cubes satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (.) \quad I_\alpha &\subset I \\ (..) \quad E \cap I &\subset \bigcup I_\alpha \\ (...) \quad \sum |I_\alpha|^{\rho/3} &\leq |I|^{\rho/3} \end{aligned}$$

Starting with $I_0 = [0,1]^3$, the previous procedure yields a tree T whose elements we label by complexes $c = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s)$. If c is of type (L), then c has exactly ℓ^3 successors. The cubes on level s of this tree belong to $\bigcup_{j \geq s} E_j$ and hence are of size $\leq \ell^{-s}$.

Fix a number δ . We stop T when the cube is of size $< \delta$. Thus each branch in T is at most $\log 1/\delta$ long. Let T^* stand for the maximal elements of T .

Given a (maximal) branch $c \in T^*$, we enumerate the consecutive L-cubes on c by stopping times

$$\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots$$

(which we let take the value ∞ on the $c \in T^*$ where corresponding τ is not defined naturally). Thus given $c \in T^*$

$$I_c|_{\tau_1(c)} \supset I_c|_{\tau_2(c)} \supset \dots$$

is the sequence of L -type cubes appearing on c . By construction

$$E \subset \bigcup_{c \in T^*} I_c \text{ (disjoint union)}$$

and

$$\text{Size } I_c < \delta \text{ for } c \in T^* .$$

Choose an integer $\bar{s} \sim c \log 1/\delta$ to be specified later. Let

$$C_1 = \{c \in T^* \mid \tau_{\bar{s}}(c) = \infty\} ; C_2 = T^* \setminus C_1$$

and further

$$L_1 = \{I_c|_{\tau_1(c)} ; c \in C_2\} , \dots , L_{\bar{s}} = \{I_c|_{\tau_{\bar{s}}(c)} ; c \in C_2\} .$$

Thus $\bigcup_{c \in L_s} I_c$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots$) decreases and

$$E \subset \bigcup_{c \in C_1} I_c \cup \bigcup_{c \in L_{\bar{s}}} I_c . \quad (5)$$

These cubes are of size δ^c . We estimate

$$\sum_{c \in C_1} |I_c|^{\rho'/3} \text{ for some } \rho' < 3 \text{ (fixed)}$$

and will further reduce $L_{\bar{s}}$ to a subclass L .

ESTIMATES. If $c \in T$ is of type (D), then

$$\sum_{k=1,2,\dots,(c,k) \in T} |I_{c,k}|^{\rho/3} \leq |I_c|^{\rho/3} .$$

If $c \in T$ of type (L), then

$$I_c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell^3} I_{c,k}$$

and for ρ close enough to 3

$$\sum_{(c,k) \in T} |I_{c,k}|^{\rho/3} \leq 2 |I_c|^{\rho/3} .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |I_o|^{\rho/3} &\geq \sum \{ |I_c|_{\tau_1(c)}^{\rho/3} ; c \in C_1 \} \\ &\geq 1/2 \sum \{ |I_c|_{\tau_1(c)+1}^{\rho/3} ; c \in C_1 \} \\ &\geq 1/2 \sum \{ |I_c|_{\tau_2(c)}^{\rho/3} ; c \in C_1 \} \\ &\geq 1/4 \sum \{ |I_c|_{\tau_2(c)+1}^{\rho/3} , c \in C_1 \} \\ &\geq 2^{-\bar{s}} \sum_{c \in C_1} |I_c|^{\rho/3} \end{aligned}$$

from where

$$\sum_{c \in C_1} |I_c|^{\frac{3+\rho}{6}} \leq 2^{\bar{s}} \delta^{\frac{3-\rho}{6}} \leq 1 \text{ for suitable } \bar{s} \sim \log 1/\delta . \quad (6)$$

It remains to consider $\bigcup_{c \in L_{\bar{s}}} (I_c \cap E)$. We reduce $L_{\bar{s}}$ to a family L satisfying

$$\sum_{c \in L} |I_c|^{\rho'/3} \leq 1 \quad (\rho' < 3) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\omega\left(\bigcup_{c \in L_{\frac{1}{s}} \setminus L} I_c\right) < \kappa \quad (7)$$

where $\kappa > 0$ will be a small number.

Intersect E with

$$\bigcup_{c \in C_1 \cup L} I_c$$

to obtain E_1 such that $\omega(E \setminus E_1) < \kappa$ and $h_\rho(E_1, \delta^c) < 1$. (8)

To prove the existence of L , we make the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in L_{\frac{1}{s}}} |I_c|^{1/2} \omega(I_c)^{1/2} &= \sum_{c' \in L_{\frac{1}{s-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell^3} \sum_{\substack{c \in L_{\frac{1}{s}} \\ c \succ (c', k)}} |I_c|^{1/2} \omega(I_c)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{c' \in L_{\frac{1}{s-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell^3} |I_{c', k}|^{1/2} \omega(I_{c', k})^{1/2} \quad (\text{Hölder}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{10} \sum_{c' \in L_{\frac{1}{s-1}}} |I_{c', \cdot}|^{1/2} \omega(I_{c', \cdot})^{1/2} \quad (c' \text{ is } (L)\text{-cube}) \\ &\quad \text{etc ...} \quad (9) \\ &\leq 10^{-\frac{1}{s}} \leq \delta^c \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$L = \{c \in L_{\frac{1}{s}} \mid \omega(I_c) > \delta^{-c} |I_c|\}$$

Since

$$|I_c| \geq \delta$$

We have

$$\omega(I_c) \geq |I_c|^{1-c} \quad \text{if } c \in L$$

$$\sum_{c \in L} |I_c|^{1-c} \leq \sum_{c \in L} \omega(I_c) \leq 1.$$

Also by (9)

$$\sum_{\substack{c \in L \setminus L \\ s}} \omega(I_c) \leq \sum_{\substack{c \in L \setminus L \\ s}} \delta^{-c/2} |I_c|^{1/2} \omega(I_c)^{1/2} \leq \delta^{c/2} < \kappa$$

for δ small enough. Hence (7) holds.

We satisfy (8). Indeed $\omega(E \setminus E_1) < \kappa$ holds as consequence of (5) and (7). Also for appropriate numerical $\rho' < 3$ and $c > 0$, we have $h_{\rho'}(E_1, \delta^c) < 1$ as a consequence of (6), (7) and the fact that the cubes involved are of size $< \delta^c$. Consider $\rho' < \rho'' < 3$. Taking $\delta < 0$ small enough, it is clear from the preceding that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a subset E_ϵ of E fulfilling the conditions

$$h_{\rho''}(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(E \setminus E_\epsilon) < \epsilon.$$

Since ρ'' is a fixed constant < 3 , the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [C1] L. CARLESON : Selected Problems on Exceptional sets, Van Nostrand 1967.
- [C2] L. CARLESON : Preprint 1985.
- [J-W] P. JONES, T. WOLFF : Preprint 1985.
- [K-W] R. KAUFMAN, J-M WU : On the snow flake domain, Studia Math. 1983.
- [M] N. MAKAROV : On the distortion of boundary sets under conformal mappings, Proc. London Math. Soc. 1985.
- [O] B. ØKSENDAL : Brownian motion and sets of harmonic measure zero, Pacific J. Math., 95 (1981), 179-192.
- [W] T. WOLFF : Private communications.